

EASEE-gas

European Association for the Streamlining of Energy Exchange - gas

Common Business Practice

Number: 2005-003/02

Subject: Constraints

Approved: 12/09/2018

Summary

This Common Business Practice describes the operational procedures to be applied where constraints arise due to (un)foreseen restrictions in transmission capacity or due to off-specification gas properties.



32 **About EASEE-gas**

33 <u>https://easee-gas.eu/about-easee-gas</u>

34 35

Version List

36

Number/ Version	Approved	Implementation date
2005-003 / 01	2005-09-08	Oct. 2006
2005-003 / 02	2017-mm-dd	Already in place

37 38

Reference List

39

Reference	Document name	Version
ROLE MODEL	Harmonised gas role model	Draft 03-
	https://easee-gas.eu/uploads/kcFinder/files/Draft-	2017
	Harmonised%20Business%20process%20model-2017-12-	
	18.pdf?utm_source=EASEE-	
	gas+Gas+Role+Model+new+version+January+2018&utm_campaign=EASEE-	
	gas+&utm_medium=email	
Nomination	Nomination and Matching Process	2015-08-18
& Matching	https://www.edigas.org/wp-	
MIG	content/Downloads/nominationAndMatchingv51r3.pdf	
Nomination	Harmonisation of the Nomination and Matching Process for	2015-06-23
& Matching	Double-Sided and Single-Sided Nomination	
СВР	https://easee-gas.eu/download_file/	
	DownloadFile/23/cbp-2014-001-01-harmonisation-of-the-nomination-and-	
	matching-process-for-double-sided-and-single-sided-nomination	
ENTSOG	MAR0023_161021_Glossary	2016-10-21
Glossary	http://www.entsog.eu/publications/glossary-of-definitions#GLOSSARY-OF-	
,	<u>DEFINITIONS</u>	
REMIT	European Regulation	
	https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/TXT/?uri=CELEX%3A32011R1227	
European	REGULATION (EC) No 715/2009 OF THE EUROPEAN	
Regulation	PARLIAMENT AND OF THE COUNCIL	
	of 13 July 2009	
	on conditions for access to the natural gas transmission	
	networks and repealing Regulation (EC) No 1775/2005 Annex 1	
	http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
	$\underline{content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32010D0685\&qid=1508406526551\&from=FR}\\$	
NC INT (EU)	Interoperability Network Code	2015-04-30
2015/703	http://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-	
	content/EN/TXT/PDF/?uri=CELEX:32015R0703&from=EN	
List of	LIST DEFINITION 20170628_0.1	2017-06-28
definitions		



41

Common Business Practice 2005-003/02; "Constraints"

43 44

42

1. APPLICATION AREA

45 46

49

This CBP defines procedures and principles which shall be applied between

"adjacent" System Operators (SOs) and between SO and Capacity Responsible Party (CRP) / Balance Responsible Party (BRP) when a constraint occurs in the system of

one of the SOs. This CBP shall be applied at Connection Points² (CP) where

50 nomination and matching take place.

It is assumed that all parties involved are obliged to provide each other with all

necessary information. The procedures and principles of this CBP are an addition

thereon and supplemental to the existing Common Business Practices³ (e.g. CBP

2014-001/01).

54 55 56

2. DEFINITION OF CONSTRAINT

57 58 59

60

61

In this CBP a Constraint is defined as a planned or unplanned event that may cause, for a limited period, Capacity reductions, affecting thereby the quantity or quality of gas at a given CP, with possible consequences on interactions between system operators as well as between SO and CRP/BRP.

62 63 64

If there is any recurrent and / or permanent change in respect of gas quality, the management of this change is not in the scope of the present CBP and should be taken care of in Interconnection Agreement discussions.⁴

67 68

65

66

3. TYPES OF CONSTRAINTS

69 70

3.1. Constraint in the Capacity of the system of SOs

72

A Constraint may occur when a critical element (e.g. a compressor) in the system of a SO has a temporary decrease in availability, resulting in a lower Capacity at a CP.

Another example of such an occurrence might be severe pipe damage making it

necessary to decrease the pressure in a section of the system of a SO. The SO

operating the affected system shall define the duration and the remaining transport

¹ see reference list Role Model

² see reference list <u>List of definitions</u>

³ see reference list Nomination & Matching CBP

⁴ If the concerned SOs can't find a suitable agreement together in the Interconnection Agreement, they shall refer to the Interoperability Network Code Article 15 to proceed further with their national regulators.



capacity, and, in case of an unplanned Constraint, shall take immediate corrective actions in order to recover the necessary capacity.

3.2. Constraint due to gas quality (gas properties) problems

When gas is qualified as off-specification, according to the specified limits and relevant procedures in the Interconnection Agreement if any, the receiving SO is not obliged to accept the gas. The receiving SO shall define the quantity of off-specification gas that can be transported, while the SO who delivers the off-specification gas shall take immediate corrective actions in order to bring the gas properties back within specification as soon as possible. On the request of the receiving SO, the delivering SO shall apply any necessary reduction of the Capacity.

When gas is qualified as on-specification according to the specified limits and relevant procedures in the Interconnection Agreement but not according to the national regulation specification, the receiving SO shall define the quantity of gas that can be transported. The receiving SO shall apply any necessary reduction of the Capacity. The SOs shall make their best efforts to agree on new specifications and /or solutions to be integrated in the Interconnection Agreement in the shortest delay, or to be applied operationally in a suitable manner for the SOs.

4. COMMUNICATION & COORDINATION OF OPERATION

The SO affected by an event causing a Constraint who has to take action according to the previous paragraphs shall inform without delay the adjacent SO, BRP/CRP and/or Final customer about the nature, possible impact on the quantity and/or quality of gas that can be transported through the CP and expected duration of the Constraint.

Both SOs shall keep each other informed about all relevant issues and the progress in solving the Constraint and about any relevant changes in the magnitude of the said Constraint.

The SOs shall stay in close contact with each other in order to minimize the consequences of the Constraint as much as possible. Both SOs shall cooperate as much as possible in order to solve the Constraint in the shortest possible time.

Whether a Constraint is planned or unplanned is defined in Article 3.3.1 of Annex 1 Regulation 715/2009.

The EDIG@S standard is highly recommended for messages between parties. 5

⁵ see Reference Nomination & Matching MIG and Capacity Trading Process MIG



1	20	
1	21	

4.1. <u>Unplanned Constraints</u>

A message shall be posted on the SOs website and/or any other public communication means (REMIT message shall be used if applicable). Furthermore SO(s) shall promptly inform the SO(s) and/or CRPs/BPRs by the most used means of communication between the SO and its active counterparties at the concerned CP about the expected duration of the Constraint and the possible impact on the confirmed quantities.

4.2. Planned Constraints

In case of planned Constraint the SO(s) shall inform the adjacent SO(s) and/or CRPs/BPRs by posting the expected period of the Constraint and the possible impact on the available Capacity on the SOs website and/or any other public communication mean and inform the concerned counterparties by the most used means of communication.

4.3. Flow under Constraint conditions

A Constraint affects the Capacity of a CP and as a consequence may reduce the quantities according to the new capacities. This may result in a new matching cycle with new confirmed quantities to the CRPs / BRPs. In case the confirmed quantities of the Connection point need to be reduced the affected SO shall issue the appropriate messages to the adjacent SO reflecting the reduced quantities.

Any revision of the Constraint shall initiate a new calculation of the capacity and a new matching cycle, which will lead to revised Confirmed quantities. Each CRP / BRP shall be informed about his revised capacity and confirmed quantity as soon as possible.

4.4. Flow control

The flow control shall be based upon the agreements between the SOs as a result of their communication about the magnitude and the duration of the Constraint, in accordance with the previous paragraph and as far as possible.

4.5. Nominations

The normal nomination rules as agreed between SO and CRP / BRP shall apply.



160	
161	4.6. <u>Allocation</u>
162	
163	The Allocation of the delivered quantities shall be according to the agreed
164	Allocation rules.
165	
166	************